

Lifespan

“Before I formed you in the womb I knew you,
and before you were born I consecrated you.” Jeremiah 1:5

2011 October/November/December

Worldwide News... [Source: SPUC]

Britain—The story of a premature surviving twin born at 23 weeks who had beat the odds and survived has made headlines. Baby Jacob McMahan, now seven months, was just under the threshold for a legal abortion in Britain. During pregnancy, Jacob’s twin sister developed an infection and died in-utero at 21 weeks. Doctors advised the parents to abort Jacob on the speculation that the infection would also claim his life as well. The mother was offered a pill that would stop Jacob’s heart. She refused and subsequently went into labor and gave birth to Jacob, who weighed in at 1 pound, 4 ounces. Although his lungs are weak due to the prematurity of his birth, Jacob was otherwise normal and is expected to fully recover.

Canada—A case against a woman who murdered her newborn son has been dismissed by a Canadian judge. Katrina Effert of Alberta, 19 at the time of the infanticide, gave birth to a baby boy in the basement of her parent’s home and then strangled him with her underwear before tossing his body into a neighbor’s yard. Effert had hid the pregnancy from her parents and claimed that the fear of discovery led her to kill her son. Based on this defense, the judge ruled in her favor; she was released on probation and given a three year suspended sentence. Pro-life advocates objected to the lenient sentence citing that the government’s bias towards abortion has now extended to infanticide. In the judge’s own words: “*one can generally accept and sympathize with the onerous demands pregnancy and childbirth exact from mothers, especially mothers without support.*” In Canada, abortions are legal and paid for at taxpayers’ expense.

Poland—In Poland, a nationwide grassroots effort led to the proposal of a new bill aimed at removing all legal exceptions for abortion and asserting the protection of all life from conception. On July 1, 2011, the Polish lower house of Parliament voted 254–151 in support of the bill. It now requires two more votes in the lower chamber before moving to the Senate. The European Center for Law & Justice has opined that Poland’s ban on abortion would not constitute a breach of international law, despite the fact that the bill runs contrary to dominant opinion in the Western world. In fact, the ban is fully compatible with international law, obligations within the European Union and obligations pursuant to the European Convention on Human Rights (provided that abortion activists do not redefine rights). Simply put, there is no right to abortion and Poland has the authority as a sovereign nation to enact such legislation.

United Kingdom—A twisted stunt by UK media entrepreneur, Alki David, to broadcast the suicide of a 62-year-old man on live TV, has brought public attention to the growing prob-

lem of assisted suicide as a means of entertainment in the UK. Although the man in this case did not actually take his life on live television, to which 165,000 viewers had tuned in to watch, the entire event highlights the increasing devaluation of human life, particularly of the aged, ailing and disabled. Such atrocities are not confined to the UK. Ludwig Minelli, a lawyer and self-described humanitarian from Zurich, opened the first of his Dignitas clinics in 1998 in Switzerland, where euthanasia is legal. Deaths facilitated by Minelli at these clinics have aired as “documentaries” on London’s Sky TV and BBC2. Left unaddressed in these so-called documentaries was the suffering associated with a choice for suicide and the pain endured during the process of murder, including the patient’s cries for water. Broadcasting the suicides of human beings as voyeuristic entertainment renders death a spectator sport and allows the masses to obtain sadistic enjoyment over the death of a fellow person. It diminishes life to the price of admission and is sheer exploitation of the pain and suffering of others. In the end, it makes all of us less human.

United Nations—While the world was distracted by Palestinian efforts to obtain statehood, UN officials have been engaged in a stealth campaign to promote abortion as an international right. This summer, when coverage of UN activities by the press was minimal, the UN Secretariat released a report from the UN Human Rights Council calling on all nations to assert that women and girls must be granted access to legal abortion in order for them to fully enjoy their human rights. The report links abortion on demand with the fundamental right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. According to these abortion-crusading zealots, legalizing abortion is not enough—member states must also actively promote the procedure. Additionally, the document seeks to decriminalize existing penalties for certain forms of conduct during pregnancy, namely drug and alcohol abuse. In other words, every attempt is being made by the UN to dehumanize the unborn and force pro-life nations to not only accept the killing of children in utero, but promote it as well.

National News... [Source: SPUC]

Illinois—In July, a circuit court judge granted three Illinois Catholic Charities a preliminary injunction to allow them to continue foster care services for over 2000 children in lieu of their refusal to place foster care children with same sex couples despite the recent legalization of gay marriage in the state. The court ruled that the discontinuance of the contracts could cause irreparable harm to the families the organization serves. The Catholic organization contended that they are protected against conscience violations under the law and should not be forced to act in a way that is contradictory to their religious beliefs. In September, however, circuit court judge, John Schmidt, ruled that the state can begin cancelling the contracts, totaling over \$30 million, with the agency. The ruling could significantly impact the agency’s charities in Belleville, Joliet, Peoria and Springfield and potentially negatively affect countless children as Catholic Charities was one of the lead providers of foster care services in the state.

Louisiana—A Louisiana mother has become the latest pro-life hero by choosing to continue a risky pregnancy and refuse her

doctor's recommendation to abort the triplets she was carrying. Kandance Smith, who suffers with cystic fibrosis, carried her triplet girls through the 28th week of pregnancy at which time her lungs began to fail. A Caesarian Section was performed at 28 weeks and the girls were born small, but healthy. None of the three girls needed breathing assistance following birth and although they are carriers of the gene for cystic fibrosis, none of them have the condition.

Pennsylvania—Despite multiple health code violations and failure to remedy, Allentown Medical Services clinic is continuing to do abortions. The ownership of the abortion clinic changed hands after former business owner, Steven Brigham, was prohibited from operating in PA as a result of botched abortions and violations of health and safety laws at his clinics. A May 26th inspection disclosed the following violations, among others: unsanitized and/or dirty medical instruments, expired medicines, unwashed pillows and blankets, improper storage of medical instruments (unwrapped speculums in a drawer with dust, dirt and hair), blood splattered in a freezer and congealed blood on the floor of the same freezer. A West Philadelphia clinic had been under investigation for similar violations in January of this year. That clinic was also cited for: unsupervised staff, unlicensed medical activity and abortions performed past the 24 week legal limit proscribed by law. Media attention to these atrocities prompted change to the state's existing regulations governing abortion clinics. The reaction of abortion advocates to these new regulations put in place to protect women from unscrupulous medical practitioners is very telling:

- **Andy Hoover, Legislative Director ACLU:** complains that the new regulations will cost “abortion providers hundreds of thousands of dollars, in building and staff increases”.
- **Sen. Shirley Kitchen (D-Phila):** upset that the new regulations will raise the price of an abortion, calls safeguards an “assault on women”.
- **Dayle Steinberg, President & CEO of Planned Parenthood Southeastern PA:** insists that “abortion in PA is an already over-regulated procedure” and doesn't support stricter regulations because “a few doctors may cross ethical lines.”

Whatever happened to concern for women's health?

New York—A judge has issued an injunction prohibiting a pro-abortion law from taking effect in New York City that would place stringent restrictions on pregnancy centers seeking to help women in troubled pregnancies and avoid abortion. The judge rightly ruled that the law was discriminatory and violated the right of such pregnancy centers to free speech. The contested legislation, which was enacted by the City Council on a vote of 39-9-1, placed strict limitations on pregnancy centers' ability to advertise and required such centers to post signs designated to dissuade women from seeking their services because “the city health department encourages women to go elsewhere.” Namely—places where such women could obtain abortions. [So much for choice and so much for constitutional rights!] The city council's bias towards abortion is unconscionable given the fact that the abortion rate in NYC is twice

the national average and that 56% of all abortions in Manhattan are repeat abortions.

Texas—A Houston woman is facing charges of tampering and fabricating evidence in a case of statutory rape. Cynthia Greenwood, 50, took her mentally disabled adopted daughter to an abortion clinic in an attempt to conceal the fact that the girl, 12 at the time, was raped by her biological son, Isaac Greenwood, age 19. Court documents obtained by the media revealed that Greenwood told her daughter that she would undergo a medical procedure so it “wouldn't hurt when the baby came out.” The girl, unbeknownst to her, was given an abortion. The clinic failed to report the incident to authorities as is required by law when a minor becomes pregnant. The clinic's failure to comply with the law is but one of many reported instances around the country: Ohio, New Jersey, Virginia, and Washington, to name a few. In the state of Washington, detectives in Spokane have obtained DNA samples from an aborted fetus via warrant from a local Planned Parenthood business in connection with a statutory rape case. Fetal tissue was sent to the crime lab and results are expected within a few months time. The Spokane PP clinic refused to talk to the media about the case, citing patient privacy.

Washington DC—Congressional Republicans have demanded that PP hand over more than a decade's worth of records in connection with allegations over the misuse of funds. Specifically, lawmakers are concerned that PP used tax dollars to fund their abortion business. PP receives several hundred million dollars from the federal government through the allocation of tax dollars. Supporters of PP are always touting the medical and family planning services provided by PP such as STD testing and referrals for breast cancer screening (they do not provide this service). In truth, however, these services represent a miniscule percentage of PP's business. The lion share is in abortion and birth control. Pro-life groups have cited the results of sting operations as sufficient basis for investigation of the abortion provider. Such operations uncovered the sexual abuse of minors, aiding & abetting sex trafficking, failure to report statutory rape and abortion coercion. The reporting of such abuses has been successful—many states are looking to defund PP. The Obama administration has been trying to exert its influence in the courts to force states to fund the abortion giant. The administration's blind support of PP is not surprising given that the organization is a major supporter of pro-abortion Democrats and President Obama.

Political Action Corner...

Despite the fact that the majority of Americans (46-39-15%) oppose a government mandate requiring insurance companies to cover contraceptives, the Obama administration has approved a recommendation from the Institute of Medicine suggesting that it force insurance companies to pay for birth control and abortifacients under the Obama-care government-run health care program.

The new HHS regulations on sterilization and contraception force Catholics to choose between faith and health care. By making this insurance coverage mandatory, the government has violated the constitutional rights of faithful Catholics by infringing on our right to practice our religious beliefs. The

regulation's religious employer exemption will not protect the hundreds of Catholic colleges, schools, and organizations which are not owned by the Church, but run by lay Catholics. These Catholic institutions as well as individual Catholic employers and employees are being forced by the government to violate their religious beliefs. Government does not have the right to mandate health care, nor does it have the right to insist upon compliance with secular values and norms. Please call for the repeal of these regulations and the repeal of Obamacare in its entirety and protect the religious freedom of Catholics guaranteed by the First Amendment and the quality of health-care in America.

It is time for Catholics to put their faith first in the voting booth. In 2012, we will decide whether or not America will continue to be destroyed by a leader that has embraced abortion, homosexuality and a repudiation of the Judeo-Christian values that our country was founded upon. At the end of each year, we try to recap for you Obama's record on life. Here it is for 2011:

- ❖ **April 11, 2011**—Refuses Speaker John Boehner's request to **cut taxpayer funding to the Planned Parenthood abortion business.**
- ❖ April 14, 2011—Obama administration castigates The Vatican over family planning.
- ❖ April 19, 2011—Obama Easter breakfast has pro-abortion religious leaders.
- ❖ May 2, 2011—Report shows the Obama administration met with abortion advocates during consideration of Obamacare.
- ❖ May 10, 2011—Senate confirms pro-abortion Obama judicial pick Edward Chen.
- ❖ May 11, 2011—Obama schmoozes with Planned Parenthood abortion business president, Cecile Richards.
- ❖ May 17, 2011—Obama administration targets peaceful pro-life advocate for prosecution.
- ❖ May 19, 2011—Names pro-abortion Morgan Christen to a federal appeals court position.
- ❖ **June 1, 2011**—Denies **Indiana's request to de-fund the Planned Parenthood abortion business.**
- ❖ June 2, 2011—Obama administration approves more taxpayer-funded embryonic stem cell research.
- ❖ June 17, 2011—Defends forcing taxpayers to fund the Planned Parenthood abortion business in court.
- ❖ July 7, 2011—Report shows Obama policies resulted in 300 taxpayer-funded abortions in Washington, D.C.
- ❖ July 21, 2011—Demands that New Hampshire make taxpayers fund the Planned Parenthood abortion business.
- ❖ July 21, 2011—Fines a peaceful pro-lifer \$25,000.
- ❖ **August 1, 2011**—Forces **insurance companies to pay for abortion drugs under Obamacare.**
- ❖ August 19, 2011—Obama administration found to have spent taxpayer funds promoting pro-abortion Obamacare during the 2010 elections.
- ❖ August 19, 2011—Obama administration approves more taxpayer-funded embryonic stem cell research.
- ❖ August 22, 2011—Vice President Joe Biden fails to condemn China's one-child, forced abortion policy.
- ❖ August 23, 2011—Obama administration calls children "sexual beings," pushes sex on them.

Did You Know...

- A recent study linked in vitro fertilization (IVF) with an increased incidence of Downs Syndrome. In simple terms, the DNA strands produced by the divisions of human chromosomes are highly sensitive to changes in size and composition. A normal human being has 46 chromosomes in 23 pairs, one member of each pair donated by the sperm and one member, the egg. In a person with Downs, there is an extra copy of chromosome #21, hence the term Trisomy 21. In IVF, during the development of the egg, the chromosomes are replicated to twice the normal number, but all extras must be jetisoned before fertilization. The rejects are known as polar bodies. The polar bodies should have the same number of chromosomes as in the egg. If not, the division process was unequal. The study analyzed these polar bodies in 34 women and found an high incidence of chromosomal abnormality. Researchers suspect that the powerful hormones given to women in IVF to stimulate the release of multiple eggs may loosen the molecular glue that holds chromosome pairs together prior to cell division. As a result, there is a greater chance for Trisomy 21 in the IVF process.
- Facebook, whether intentionally or not, has given the unborn child a voice. Facebook's 750 million users now have the option of adding unborn family members to their list of "family & friends" on their profiles with "Expected Child." They also have the option of adding a photo of the unborn baby, the baby's name and due date. With the innovation of 4-D ultrasound technology, it is no surprise that young people are more pro-life than the previous generation. Images are powerful and can combat even the most tenacious propoganda. In recent years, respondents between the ages of 18-29 are more pro-life than their parents. Today there are approximately 4000 pregnancy resource centers as compared to approximately 2000 abortion clinics—making it easier to make a choice for life.
- A recent *New York Times* article coined the term "two minus one pregnancy" to refer to a procedure which is essentially a selective abortion used in multiple births or a "twin reduction." This scenario presents itself when a woman, pregnant with multiple fetuses, decides that she can't handle the burden of more than one child at a time. She can find a willing doctor to inject potassium chloride into the beating heart of one or more of her children to end their lives. The "chosen" child is "safe" because the use of the poison is targeted using ultrasound. Mother and partner are given the option to watch if they want, but often decline. The dead baby or babies are left to shrivel in the womb with the surviving one in order to ensure the safe delivery of the reamining baby. No one knows the psychological consequences of this procedure on the remaining child and from a practical standpoint, what could the parent say that would ever explain the decision to committ selective murder? In 1970, the American public was told that *Roe v. Wade* would allow women to deal with the "hard choices" in a desperate pregnancy. Now, we have women having abortions, one after another, for reasons that can hardly be termed desperate. The newest reason is the "two minus one pregnancy."

Reflection...

Culture of Life: Center Stage

Excerpt from: Paul V. Esposito, 2011. *Culture of Life*.

(Paul is a Catholic lawyer who writes on a variety of pro-life topics.)

Children have not always been spared from man's inhumanities. In fact, they have even been the targets. The ancient Spartans, a warrior people, would throw unhealthy looking infants off Mt. Taigetos. In Nazi Germany, the Reich separated orphans, children with disabilities and behavior problems, and other "imperfect" kids from their families. The Nazis wanted to purify the bloodline of the master race. Just days after the war's start, Hitler issued a decree authorizing doctors to kill children. And they did, but not before subjecting many to experimentation. Brokenhearted parents were told that their murdered children died from flu.

Doctors here received their licenses to kill not by a dictator's decree but by a court's judgment. Since 1973, over 53 million unborn babies have been legally dismembered, scalded, crushed, and trashed. But we don't claim to do it for harsh sounding reasons like strengthening our military or purifying a bloodline. We mask our brutality with notions of fairness and compassion. It's only fair, we say, that a woman be allowed to take the life of a baby she chooses to not carry. It lacks compassion, we maintain, to make a woman bear a child suffering from disabilities or conceived out of rape or incest. We even claim that abortion can be a compassionate alternative to birth. Back in my college days, a professor invoked the Bible in suggesting that it would be better that a baby "not be born" than to be born unwanted. Ironically, that Bible verse warns that it would be better to not be born than to betray the Son of Man (Mk: 14:21). It's amazing how far we can wander off script.

By definition, every aborted child is unwanted. But we need to be honest about why most are unwanted. Only about six percent of all abortions are performed for reasons of rape, incest, or the mother and child's health combined. Most babies are aborted because they are inconvenient for one reason or another. The parents wanted the sexual relationships. What they didn't want is the responsibility to abstain out of marriage or naturally plan during marriage. Sadly, we are killing babies for our own comfort.

But what about babies conceived out of rape or incest? Another Bible verse reminds us that we must not punish a child for the sins of his father. But Bible aside, killing a baby is unjust under any civilized standard. Abortion is not an act of self-defense; the crime is over long before the abortion occurs. Besides, we do not allow victims to take the law into their own hands in dealing with criminals. Why should a mother be allowed to kill an innocent unborn baby? Children can be placed for adoption; countless couples would gladly adopt children conceived in rape or incest. And in the end, abortion only harms women more. They must live not only with pain as victims of crime but also with guilt for causing the death of their own children.

Aborting an unwanted baby is a very one-sided affair. We never get to hear from the baby, and that's wrong because an abortion involves two humans. But just how do we give voice to the unborn? Perhaps by listening to the voices of abortion

survivors. Gianna Jensen, now 24, was in the process of dying by saline abortion. She survived because the abortionist was late for work. She remained in the hospital for months and was diagnosed with cerebral palsy caused by the abortion. "*I am happy to be alive. I almost died. Every day I thank God for life.*" Sarah Smith, now 31, survived because the abortionist didn't realize that Sarah's mother was carrying twins. Born with bilateral, congenital dislocated legs and many other handicaps, Sarah still thanks God that she survived abortion. And then there was the mother who chose life even though told that her son would likely be disabled. He was born with severe glaucoma. By age twelve he was blind. But God had gifted tenor Andrea Bocelli with a voice and a will to use it for good. It's been said that if God sings, He sounds like Bocelli.

There lies our greatest mistake: we have tried to switch places with God. All life comes from Him, not us; we only cooperate in the process. Saying that it would be better that an unwanted child be aborted speaks not of our compassion but of our arrogance. It is saying that God made a mistake. It is saying that we know better than God, and we must correct His error by killing an unwanted unborn baby. We have thrust ourselves onto center stage, somehow thinking that we have a right to play God with another's life. But we don't get it.

Truth be told, every child *is* a wanted child, wanted by God. He allows bad things to happen so that good can come from them and His greatness may be revealed. A child can bring comfort and healing to a victim of rape or incest. A child can bring fulfillment and indescribable joy to a couple longing for family. A child can uplift the heart of every person watching him overcome a disability. A child can let us hear how God sings. That's God's plan, and it will work for the good of all if trust in Him. It can be difficult. But that's why God gives us each other.

God belongs on center stage, not us. He's a whole lot better in the starring role.

"Anti-Choice" a Dishonest, Inaccurate Term in Abortion Debate

By David Schmidt | Washington, DC | LifeNews.com | 7/20/11 7:56 PM

Planned Parenthood and others in the pro-abortion lobby love to label pro-life advocates as "anti-choice." This label isn't only ambiguous—it's dishonest. Let me explain:

The reason why I oppose the choice of human fetal abortion is not because I oppose choices; it is because they oppose a specific choice—human abortion. If one opposes the choice of a man to hit his wife, is that person "anti-choice"? Of course not. The essence of opposing the choice of a man to hit his wife stems from an opposition to violence, not an opposition to choices. Likewise, the essence of opposition to human abortion is not an opposition to choices, but an opposition to killing human life.

By definition, someone who is "anti-choice" would be opposed to ALL choices whether they be about feticide, stealing, or eating food.

The core issue is not about choice any more than murder, slavery, and stealing are about choice. It is about what we do with

living unborn human fetuses biologically developing inside and dependent on another human. Do these fetuses have rights and if so, what are they? Does the mother have rights and what are they? What do we do when these rights conflict? Do some rights take precedent over others? These are the significant questions.

Lastly, even the term “pro-choice” is misleading. It isn’t that those who are “pro-choice” support all choices (surely they don’t support domestic violence); it is that they support the choice to abort a living human embryo or fetus. Opposing that choice isn’t anti-choice; it is rather anti-human abortion or pro-human life rights. Now that is precise, logical, and scientifically accurate.


Perspective...

Taking a Hard Look at Planned Parenthood

- Planned Parenthood is the number one abortion provider in the US. Since 1973, they have become increasingly invested in the abortion business as the chart to the right illustrates. Today, there are 53 million lost citizens as a result of the abortion tragedy—citizens who will not be here to pay taxes, raise children, and use their skills to make America strong.
- 53 million lost lives has an economic consequence, one that is not considered by most abortion proponents. In 1998, Larry

Burkett, a highly regarded author on Christian personal financial topics wrote about abortion, not from the moral perspective, but from its impact on the economics of the US:

“This growing parity between the young and the old is at the heart of the demographic challenges that face Medicare and Social Security. Incredible as it may seem, by the time the peak of the baby boom generation reaches retirement age, the number of abortions since the Supreme Court’s Roe v. Wade decision will equal the number of births in the baby boom. ‘If only 1/3 of those who have been aborted were available to start work on their 18th birthday,’ speculated USA Today, ‘the demise of Social Security would be put off for decades.’”



Cost of Roe v. Wade Decision to the U.S. Government

Year	Missing Workers Each Year	Second Generation Workers	Average Pay 3% Increase Each Year	Missing Payroll Each Year	Taxes and Fees Not Collected (33%) Each Year	6.5% Interest on Debt Not Paid Due to Missing Taxes
1992	1000000	0	\$30,000	\$30,000,000,000	\$9,900,000,000	\$643,500,000
1993	2000000	0	\$30,900	\$61,800,000,000	\$20,394,000,000	\$1,969,110,000
1994	3000000	0	\$31,827	\$95,481,000,000	\$31,508,730,000	\$4,017,177,450
1995	4000000	0	\$32,782	\$131,127,240,000	\$43,271,989,200	\$6,829,856,748
1996	5000000	0	\$33,765	\$168,826,321,500	\$55,712,686,095	\$10,451,181,344
1997	6000000	0	\$34,778	\$208,669,333,374	\$68,860,880,013	\$14,927,138,545
1998	7000000	0	\$35,822	\$250,750,982,271	\$82,747,824,149	\$20,305,747,115
1999	8000000	0	\$36,896	\$295,169,727,702	\$97,406,010,142	\$26,637,137,774
2000	9000000	0	\$38,003	\$342,027,921,975	\$112,869,214,252	\$33,973,636,700
2001	10000000	0	\$39,143	\$391,431,955,149	\$129,172,545,199	\$42,369,852,138
2002	11000000	0	\$40,317	\$443,492,405,184	\$146,352,493,711	\$51,882,764,229
2003	12000000	0	\$41,527	\$498,324,193,461	\$164,446,983,842	\$62,571,818,179
2004	13000000	0	\$42,773	\$556,046,745,870	\$183,495,426,137	\$74,499,020,878
2005	14000000	0	\$44,056	\$616,784,159,650	\$203,538,772,684	\$87,729,041,103
2006	15000000	0	\$45,378	\$680,665,376,185	\$224,619,574,141	\$102,329,313,422
2007	16000000	0	\$46,739	\$747,824,359,968	\$246,782,038,790	\$118,370,145,943
2008	17000000	0	\$48,141	\$818,400,283,940	\$270,072,093,700	\$135,924,832,034
2009	18000000	0	\$49,585	\$892,537,721,427	\$294,537,448,071	\$135,924,832,034
2010	19000000	0	\$51,073	\$970,386,844,907	\$320,227,658,819	\$175,884,563,981
2011	20000000	1000000	\$52,605	\$1,104,708,813,439	\$364,553,908,435	\$199,580,568,030
2012	21000000	2000000	\$54,183	\$1,246,216,751,922	\$411,251,528,134	\$226,311,917,358
2013	22000000	3000000	\$55,809	\$1,395,220,928,782	\$460,422,906,498	\$256,239,406,281
2014	23000000	4000000	\$57,483	\$1,552,043,761,177	\$512,174,441,188	\$289,530,744,958
2015	24000000	5000000	\$59,208	\$1,717,020,264,680	\$566,616,687,344	\$326,360,829,635
2016	25000000	6000000	\$60,984	\$1,890,498,519,008	\$623,864,511,273	\$366,912,022,868
2017	26000000	7000000	\$62,813	\$2,072,840,150,358	\$684,037,249,618	\$411,374,444,093
2018	27000000	8000000	\$64,698	\$2,264,420,830,921	\$747,258,874,204	\$459,946,270,917
2019	28000000	9000000	\$66,639	\$2,465,630,796,183	\$813,658,162,740	\$512,834,051,495
2020	29000000	10000000	\$68,638	\$2,676,875,380,613	\$883,368,875,602	\$570,253,028,409
2021	30000000	11000000	\$70,697	\$2,898,575,572,392	\$956,529,938,889	\$632,427,474,437
2022	31000000	12000000	\$72,818	\$3,131,168,587,835	\$1,033,285,633,985	\$699,591,040,646
	Aborted Workers	Missing Second Generation	Cumulative 30-year Salary	Cumulative Total Payroll	Cumulative Unpaid Taxes and Fees	Cumulative Interest On Debt Not Paid
TOTALS	31000000	12000000	\$1,500,080	\$32,614,966,929,870	\$10,762,939,086,857	\$6,058,602,468,744

Please help us to save money in producing this newsletter by sending us your email address to LifespanPA@aol.com.

*Please join Catholics Defending Life at a
Pro-life Prayer Luncheon & Presentation:*

The Sacrament of Marriage

When: *Sunday, October 23, 2011*

Where: *St. Leo the Great Church*

2427 Marietta Ave, Lancaster

Speaker: *Fr. Peter I. Hahn*



Schedule

11:00 am	Mass
1200-1:00pm	Lunch
1:00-1:45 pm	Presentation
2:00-3:00 pm	Adoration



Please accept our invitation to re-energize your commitment to and understanding of sacramental marriage and its importance in the Catholic view of life and family. There is no cost for this event, but we respectfully ask for goodwill donations to help us cover the cost.

Reservations are required.

Please call:

RCIA:	Anne Marie DiCarlo	717-872-9386
Youth/ CCD/High School:	Julie English	717-293-0236
Parishioners & Others:	Rosie Gross	717-397-6966